

Let me state my questions of concern more succinctly.

Did SRWMD make ANY attempt to purchase the mineral rights along with the surface rights to the land?

Did SRWMD know that Rayonier intended to almost immediately lease the mineral rights to Chemours or was SRWMD not aware of Rayonier's plans?

If yes, then why did SRWMD say that the land would be available for recreation? If not, then who is responsible for the lack of due diligence and how does that get remedied?

Is there any reason why parts of the property that are not being mined or harvested could not be opened for hiking, hunting and exploring?

When does SRWMD anticipate that the land will actually be made available for public recreation? What year or at least decade?

Please address my concerns about lack of public use despite that being stated as a goal of the purchase. It seems to be the only unmet goal.

With anxious anticipation,  
Carol Mosley

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Carol Mosley** <earthweaver@gmail.com>

Wed, Jul 9, 2025 at 3:06 PM

To: Troy Roberts <Troy.Roberts@srwmd.org>

Cc: Hugh Thomas <Hugh.Thomas@srwmd.org>, Tim Alexander <Tim.Alexander@srwmd.org>

Good afternoon Mr. Roberts,

As you might imagine, I was disappointed that the Board and the public could not hear my words spoken at the meeting yesterday. I specifically asked that they be read and was left with the impression that they would be, despite being also included in the agenda packet. Though this is the first time I have asked personally, I have seen this absentee reading being done in the past and was impressed to see the SRWMD take such an interest in hearing from and accommodating the public. I'm a big believer of government in the sunshine. If there is a particular procedure I failed to do in order to have my comments read, please let me know what I missed. Otherwise, it would seem to be a random decision of someone's. I am 74 years old caring for someone even older than I am and making a 3-4 hours trip to give three minutes of comments in person would be a grueling effort. I was shocked to hear someone holler out that it wasn't necessary because the comments were in the record. But, I don't want to get hung up on this. I want to find the answers to my questions!

Let me stress that this matter is of the essence since the public has been denied use of the land for the last ten years and a permit from Chemours has been requested for another ten years. Waiting for the next monthly meeting for the Board to hear my concerns may be too late. Surely you are aware of the permit request for mining the land.

Your response provided very little information other than what I already knew from the documents I shared with you. Those documents clearly state that recreation is to be a component of the purchase in addition to Camp Blanding buffering and water retention and recharge. I was thrilled to be steered to you for answers, assuming that your title of Communications and Outreach put me in touch with the person in the know. I am still hopeful that you are the person who knows the answers I seek or will find them for me.

For your convenience, I'm repeating the questions I posed in my email above in hopes of receiving your answers prior to my contacting the Board directly to express my concerns. I know how easily information can be overlooked in those huge Board packets and I would not like that to be the case with this time sensitive matter. And, when I approach the Board, I want to be adequately informed so as not to misspeak.

Did SRWMD make ANY attempt to purchase the mineral rights along with the surface rights to the land?

Did SRWMD know that Rayonier intended to almost immediately lease the mineral rights to Chemours or was SRWMD not aware of Rayonier's plans?

If yes, then why did SRWMD say that the land would be available for recreation? If not, then who is responsible for the lack of due diligence and how does that get remedied?

Is there any reason why parts of the property that are not being mined or harvested could not be opened for hiking, hunting and exploring?

When does SRWMD anticipate that the land will actually be made available for public recreation? What year or at least decade?

Respectfully and with hope,  
Carol Mosley  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Troy Roberts** <Troy.Roberts@srwmd.org>

Fri, Jul 11, 2025 at 9:45 AM

To: Carol Mosley <earthweaver@gmail.com>

Cc: Hugh Thomas <Hugh.Thomas@srwmd.org>, Tim Alexander <Tim.Alexander@srwmd.org>

Good morning, Ms. Mosley,

Thank you for the follow-up email.