Table C-23. Pelletizing Operating Parameters

Emission Source	Annual Throughput <sup>1</sup>	Units
Total Capacity Dry Hammermills Pellet Mills Pellet Coolers	246,234 497,000 497,000	tons/year tons/year tons/year
Volumetric Capacity Pellet Density <sup>2</sup> Pellet Mill Nos. 1 - 3 <sup>3</sup> Pellet Cooler Nos. 1 - 3 <sup>3</sup>	40 24,850,000 24,850,000	lb/ft <sup>3</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /year ft <sup>3</sup> /year

- 1. Annual throughput baesd on finished pellet production capacity.
- 2. Pellet density assumed based on industry information.
- 3. Potential volume throughput estimated as follows: Potential Mass Throughput (tons/year) \* 2,000 (lb/ton) / Pellet Density (lb/ft³)

Table C-24. Hammermill and Pellet Mill/Cooler Dust Collector Control Device Operating Parameters and Potential PM Emissions

Control Device	Flow Rate (dscfm) <sup>1</sup>	Loading Rate (gr/dscf) <sup>1</sup>	Potential E Filterable PM, (lb/hr)	
Dry Hammermill Cyclones	28,080	0.010	2.41	10.54
Pellet Mill/Cooler Cyclones	90,000	0.010	7.71	33.79

- 1. Flowrate provided by Nexus PMG. Loading rate assumed.
- 2. Potential emissions are calculated as follows:

Potential Emissions (lb/hour) = Flowrate (dscfm) \* 60 (mins/hr) \* Pollutant Loading (grs/dscf) / 7,000 (gr/lb) Potential Emissions (tons/year) = Potential Emissions (lb/hour) \* Annual Operation (hours/year) / 2,000 (lbs/ton) Where annual emissions assume 8,760 hours of operation per year for conservatism.

Table C-25. Hammermills Potential VOC and HAP Emissions

Pollutant	Factor (lb/ton)	Control Efficiency <sup>5</sup> (%)	Potential E (lb/hr)	missions <sup>6</sup> (tpy)
VOC <sup>1</sup>	2.5	95%	3.51	15.39
Acetaldehyde <sup>1</sup>	4.00E-03	95%	0.01	0.02
Formaldehyde <sup>1</sup>	8.00E-03	95%	0.01	0.05
Methanol <sup>1</sup>	4.00E-03	95%	0.01	0.02
Acrolein <sup>2</sup>	7.80E-03	95%	0.01	0.05
Phenol <sup>3</sup>	4.50E-03	95%	0.01	0.03
Propionaldehyde <sup>2</sup>	3.00E-03	95%	0.00	0.02
Total HAP <sup>4</sup>	-		0.04	0.19

<sup>1.</sup> Emission factors from GA EPD guidance for Hammermills at Wood Pellets Facilities. Emissions are quantified from the overall hammermilling processes (total throughput), not individually by each component. Therefore, annual emissions are based on the annual throughputs through the overall hammermilling process.

- 4. Total HAP is the sum of all individual HAP emissions.
- 5. Per GA EPD guidance for storage/handling at Wood Pellets Facilities, a 95% DRE is applied for VOC and HAP emissions routed to an RTO. Emissions from the dry hammermills will be routed to the dryer and will be treated through the RTO.
- 6. Potential emissions are calculated as follows:

Potential Emissions (lb/hour) = Potential Emissions (tpy) \* 2,000 (lb/ton) / Annual Operation (hr/yr)

Potential Emissions (tons/year) = Emission Factor (lb/ton) \* (1 - Control Efficiency (%)) \* Annual Throughput (tons/year) / 2,000 (lbs/ton)

Where annual emissions assume 8,760 hours of operation per year for conservatism.

<sup>2.</sup> Emission factors from Enviva dry hammermill testing as the maximum test result. Emissions are quantified from the overall hammermilling processes (total throughput), not individually by each component. Therefore, annual emissions are based on the annual throughputs through the overall hammermilling process.

<sup>3.</sup> Emission factors from AP-42 Section 10.6.2-7 for a hammermill. Emissions are quantified from the overall hammermilling processes (total throughput), not individually by each component. Therefore, annual emissions are based on the annual throughputs through the overall hammermilling process.